

Study on the Bio-Motor Condition of the Institutionalized Elderly from Brasov County

Eusebiu Mihail VELEA¹
Monica Iulia STANESCU²
Virgil TUDOR³

Abstract

Aging is a natural but complex process that involves significant physiological and psychological changes. These changes can affect the health of older people, especially in residential care settings, where access to care and support can vary considerably. Physical exercise, a crucial factor in maintaining optimal health, has been shown to have beneficial effects on older people, reducing the risks associated with chronic conditions, improving mobility and supporting mental health. The study focuses on the impact of physical exercise on the health of institutionalized older people in Braşov County, highlighting the importance of a physical activity program adapted to their specific needs. Recent research suggests that through regular physical exercise interventions, significant improvements can be observed in the quality of life, autonomy and general health of older people. These activities can contribute to a more active and independent life, combat the negative effects of inactivity and prevent the exacerbation of some age-related health conditions. In conclusion, the implementation of personalized physical exercise programs in residential centers in Braşov County could represent an effective solution for improving the health status of institutionalized elderly people.

Keywords: elderly, test, vivifrail, squats, Schober, statistic

JEL classification: I120; J11

DOI: 10.24818/mrt.25.17.02.07

1. Introduction

Population aging is a global demographic phenomenon, with major implications for public health, social systems and quality of life. In Romania, the number of elderly people is continuously increasing, and a significant part of them end up being institutionalized due to loss of autonomy or lack of family support. In this context, maintaining an optimal state of health becomes a priority, and structured physical exercise plays an essential role in preventing functional decline associated with old age.

¹ National University of Physical Education and Sport, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport of Bucharest, Romania, eusebiu.velea@yahoo.com

² National University of Physical Education and Sport, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport of Bucharest, Romania, monica_iulia@yahoo.com

³ National University of Physical Education and Sport, Faculty of Physical Education and Sport of Bucharest, Romania, virgiltro@yahoo.com



Bio-motor condition, which reflects a person's ability to perform basic motor activities (balance, mobility, strength, endurance), is an essential indicator of functional autonomy in the elderly. In institutionalized environments, the lack of regular physical activity contributes to the increase in sedentarism and the early onset of frailty. Recent studies highlight the importance of personalized motor interventions in maintaining or even improving the functional state of the elderly.

This paper aims to investigate the bio-motor condition of institutionalized elderly people from Braşov, by applying validated functional tests, aiming to identify the main motor deficiencies and highlight the potential for intervention through adapted physical exercise programs.

Aging is characterized by a gradual decline in multiple physiological functions, among which motor abilities play a crucial role in maintaining autonomy and quality of life in older adults (Izquierdo et al., 1999; Spirduso, Francis, & MacRae, 2005). Motor capacity includes essential components such as strength, flexibility, balance, and gait speed, which are all negatively influenced by sarcopenia, osteoarticular degeneration, and decreased physical activity (Landi et al., 2010; Liu & Latham, 2009).

Institutionalized elderly populations are particularly vulnerable to accelerated physical decline due to reduced mobility, chronic diseases, and limited opportunities for structured physical activity (Onder et al., 2002; Morley et al., 2010). This leads to functional limitations, an increased risk of falls, and loss of independence, all of which directly impact their motor performance (Paterson & Warburton, 2010).

Previous studies have demonstrated that targeted exercise programs, including strength training, balance exercises, and functional mobility drills, can significantly improve motor function in elderly individuals, even in advanced age (Liu-Ambrose et al., 2004; Vivifrail, 2019). Tools such as the Vivifrail assessment battery allow a comprehensive evaluation of motor capacity, identifying key deficits that can be addressed through individualized interventions (Izquierdo et al., 2019).

Research over the past two decades has highlighted the effectiveness of adapted physical exercise interventions in achieving functional achievement and reducing the risk of falls among institutionalized older adults. For example, studies such as those by Binder et al. (2002) and Fiatarone et al. (1994). Similarly, Nelson et al. (2007) have highlighted that a multicomponent program – including strength, balance and endurance – can have a major impact on functional status and quality of life.

More recently, European studies such as the one coordinated by Rodriguez-Mañas et al. (2018), within the SPRINTT program, have validated the effectiveness of integrated physical activity and nutrition strategies in preventing frailty and disability in older adults in residential care. Also, in the research conducted by Martínez-Velilla et al. (2019), the implementation of daily physical activity-based interventions among institutionalized geriatric patients had a significant positive effect on mobility and health status. These data confirm the importance of rigorous assessment of bio-motor condition as a starting point in the creation of personalized intervention programs.



The present study aims to assess the bio-motor condition of institutionalized elderly individuals from Braşov, focusing exclusively on their physical capacity and functional mobility, aiming to provide data for the development of effective motor rehabilitation and maintenance programs

The purpose of the work is to design and model the contents of physical education training for senior citizens, in an interdisciplinary vision, in order to increase their quality of life in residential centers.

By addressing this topic, I want to contribute to the scientific substantiation of physical exercise models designed for senior citizens, who live in specific conditions of care in institutional environments, to contribute to improving their quality of life by optimally spending the time spent in the center, against the background of awareness of the benefits of practicing physical exercises.

2. Material and Methods

Participants. The study participants were selected in July 2021 from several residential care centers located in Braşov County, namely: AVIV Residential Center in Făgăraş, "Dr. Teofil Mija" Elderly Home in Săcele, and Papi Sante Residential Center for the Elderly in Târlungeni. Only individuals medically cleared to safely perform physical exercises were included. A total of 47 subjects (16 men and 31 women), aged between 65 and 87 years, were enrolled in the study.

All participants completed the motor tests: Vivifrail, Squats, and Schober.

Vivifrail Test

The Vivifrail test (Dr. Mikel Izquierdo – Public University of Navarra, Spain) was applied to assess motor capacity, consisting of four physical sub-tests:

- **Test 1 – Balance:**

- *Feet Together:* Feet shoulder-width apart, then positioned together at the same level. The time maintaining this position was recorded:
 - Score 1 – 10 seconds
 - Score 0 – less than 10 seconds
- *Semi-Tandem:* Feet shoulder-width apart, one foot placed in front of the other halfway. The time maintaining the position was recorded:
 - Score 1 – 10 seconds
 - Score 0 – less than 10 seconds
- *Tandem:* Feet shoulder-width apart, one foot placed directly in front of the other. The time maintaining the position was recorded:
 - Score 2 – 10 seconds
 - Score 1 – between 3 and 9 seconds
 - Score 0 – less than 3 seconds

- **Test 2 – Gait Speed:**

- The participants walked a distance of 4 meters on a flat surface, three times. The average time was recorded as:



- Score 4 – less than 4.82 seconds
- Score 3 – 4.83 – 6.2 seconds
- Score 2 – 6.21 – 8.7 seconds
- Score 1 – more than 8.7 seconds
- **Test 3 – Lower Limb Strength (Chair-Stand Test):**
 - Five repetitions of standing up from a chair without using the hands were performed. The total time was measured and scored as:
 - Score 4 – less than 11.19 seconds
 - Score 3 – 11.2 – 13.69 seconds
 - Score 2 – 13.7 – 16.69 seconds
 - Score 1 – 16.7 – 59 seconds
 - Score 0 – more than 60 seconds
- **Test 4 – Timed Up and Go Test:**
 - The subject stands up from the chair, walks 3 meters, circles around a cone, and returns to sit on the chair. The best time was recorded as:
 - Score 1 – less than 20 seconds
 - Score 0 – more than 20 seconds
- **Interpretation of Vivifrail total score:**

Score	Interpretation
0 – 3	Disabled
4 – 6	Frail
7 – 9	Pre-frail
10 – 12	Robust

The Vivifrail test was chosen as, during aging, the loss of muscle strength (dynapenia), combined with unhealthy and sedentary lifestyles, may lead to functional limitations and dependency, forming the rationale for this research.

Squats Test

The Squats Test was applied according to Tudor (2013), designed for monitoring lower limb strength development across different age categories. A chair or box was used as a reference, ensuring a 90-degree knee angle during squats. The starting position involved standing with feet shoulder-width apart in front of the chair, lightly touching the seat at each repetition. The exercise continued until the participant was unable to perform additional repetitions. (Tudor, 2013, p. 151).

Schober Test

The Schober Test was used to evaluate lumbar spine flexibility, a key indicator of spinal mobility (Schober, 1937). While standing with feet together, the examiner marked a point approximately at L5 vertebra, then marked 5 cm below and 10 cm above that point, creating a 15 cm segment. The participant was asked to bend



forward while keeping the knees straight, and if the distance increased by less than 5 cm (i.e., to a total of less than 20 cm), it indicated lumbar flexion restriction (Schober P., p. 336, 1937).

The statistical analysis was performed using IBM SPSS Statistics 25 and Microsoft Office Excel/Word 2013. Quantitative variables were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and expressed as mean $\pm$ standard deviation or median with interpercentile range.

For normally distributed quantitative variables, comparisons between study groups were made using Student/Welch T-Test (depending on the equality of variances tested by Levene's test) for two groups, or One-Way ANOVA for comparisons between more than two groups. Non-parametric quantitative variables were analyzed using the Mann-Whitney U test or Kruskal-Wallis H test.

Correlations between independent non-parametric variables were tested using Spearman's rho coefficient. Qualitative variables were expressed as absolute frequencies and percentages, with group differences analyzed using Fisher's Exact Test.

3. Results and Discussion

Characteristics of the study sample

Table 1

Parameter	Results (Average $\pm$ SD, Median (IQR))/(No.,%)
Age	73.21 $\pm$ 4.39, 72 (70-76)
Age caterogy	31 (66%) 65-74 year, 16 (34%) 75-84 year
Gender	16 (34%) Men, 31 (66%) Women
Institutionalization period (months)	16.3 $\pm$ 8.05, 15 (8-20)
Institutionalization period	14 (29.8%) < 12 month, 33 (70.2%) $\geq$ 12 month
Weight	72.92 $\pm$ 7.08, 72.1 (68.3-78.5)
Body fat%	32.71 $\pm$ 3.67, 31.8 (29.8-35.5)
Muscle mass%	25.25 $\pm$ 2.5, 24.6 (23.5-27.4)
Obesity%	32.85 $\pm$ 3.14, 32.4 (29.8-34.7)
Subscale – Test Vivifrail	
Test 1 – Balance	13 (27.7%) 2 pct, 26 (55.3%) 3 pct, 8 (17%) 4 pct
Test 2 – Gait speed	26 (55.3%) 2 pct, 20 (42.6%) 3 pct, 1 (2.1%) 4 pct
Test 3 – Lower limb strength	26 (55.3%) 2 pct, 21 (44.7%) 3 pct
Test 4 – Timed up and go	14 (29.8%) 0 pct, 33 (70.2%) 1 pct
Vivifrail score	8.51 $\pm$ 1.25, 8 (8-9)
Test Squats score	14.45 $\pm$ 2.31, 14 (12-16)



Parameter	Results (Average $\pm$ SD, Median (IQR))/(No., %)
Test Schober distance	11 (23.4%) 3 cm, 18 (38.3%) 4 cm, 18 (38.3%) 5 cm
<i>Subscale – Coefficient Ch. Rocher</i>	
Coefficient – Hand	2 (4.3%) – 1.1, 32 (68.1%) – 1.4, 1 (2.1%) – 1.5, 12 (25.5%) – 1.8
Coefficient - Hip	22 (46.8%) – 1.1, 18 (38.3%) – 1.3, 7 (14.9%) – 1.5
Coefficient – Knee	47 (100%) – 0.9

The data in Table 1 presents the demographic data and the test results of the participants in the study sample. The results indicate the following:

- The mean age of the participants was 73.21 ± 4.39 years (median = 72 years);
- Most participants were between 65 and 74 years old (66%);
- The majority of participants were female (66%);
- The mean institutionalization period was 16.3 ± 8.05 months (median = 15 months);
- Most participants had an institutionalization period of ≥ 12 months (70.2%);
- The mean body weight was 72.92 ± 7.08 kg (median = 72.1 kg);
- The mean body fat percentage relative to muscle mass was $32.71 \pm 3.67\%$ (median = 31.8%);
- The mean muscle mass percentage relative to body weight was $25.25 \pm 2.5\%$ (median = 24.6%);
- The mean obesity percentage relative to body weight was $32.85 \pm 3.14\%$ (median = 32.4%).

According to the Vivifrail test subscales:

- In the balance test, most participants scored 3 points (55.3%);
- In the gait speed test, most participants scored 2 points (55.3%);
- In the lower limb strength test, most participants scored 2 points (55.3%);
- In the Timed Up and Go test, most participants scored 1 point (70.2%);
- The mean global Vivifrail score was 8.51 ± 1.25 points (median = 8 points);
- The mean Squats Test score was 14.45 ± 2.31 (median = 14 points);
- In the Schober Test, most participants achieved a distance of 5 or 4 cm (38.3%).

Regarding the Ch. Rocher coefficient subscales:

- For the hand coefficient, most participants had values below 1.5 (72.3%);
- For the hip coefficient, most participants scored 1.1 (46.8%);
- For the knee coefficient, all participants scored 0.9 (100%).



**Summarizing the results obtained from the association
of items from the motor indices**

Table 2

Item (p)	Age	Gen	Institutionalization period
Vivifrail Score	0.608	0.124	0.418
Squats Score	0.004	<0.001	0.040
Test Schober - Distance	0.222	0.577	0.264
Coefficient hand	0.318	0.318	0.037
Coefficient hip	0.644	0.067	0.525

The Vivifrail physical test score indicates that institutionalized patients present low physical performance parameters. Differences in scores between groups categorized by age, gender, and institutionalization period were not statistically significant according to the Mann-Whitney U test.

The Squats Test score (Student's T-test, $p < 0.001$) in relation to gender showed that female participants presented lower lower-limb strength (13 points) compared to male participants (17 points). Based on these results, it can be concluded that the male sample maintained a more active lifestyle for a longer period compared to the female sample, continuing physical activity into older age.

Additionally, in the Squats Test (Student's T-test, $p = 0.004$), participants aged between 65-74 years demonstrated higher lower-limb strength (15.13 points) compared to participants aged 75-84 years (13.13 points).

Another important finding from the Squats Test concerns the institutionalization period (categorized as less than 12 months vs. more than 12 months). According to the Mann-Whitney U test ($p = 0.040$), patients institutionalized for more than 12 months had lower scores (14 points) compared to those institutionalized for less than 12 months (15.5 points).

In the final table, it can also be observed that Fisher's Exact Test ($p = 0.037$) indicates that 79.4% of patients institutionalized for more than 12 months belonged to the lower score group, compared to 53.8% of patients institutionalized for less than 12 months.

Specialists in the field of physical rehabilitation and gerontology are constantly seeking effective strategies to prevent the motor decline associated with aging. The present study aimed to investigate the bio-motor capacities of institutionalized elderly individuals, with a focus on strength, balance, mobility, and flexibility — parameters essential for maintaining autonomy and quality of life.

The results of the current research confirmed previous findings from the literature, emphasizing that institutionalized elderly individuals experience a progressive decline in motor performance, especially after the age of 75 (Izquierdo et al., 1999; Onder et al., 2002). The most pronounced deficits were observed in lower limb strength and gait speed, which are known predictors of functional dependence and fall risk (Liu-Ambrose et al., 2004; Vivifrail, 2019).

The Vivifrail test battery allowed a comprehensive and practical assessment of motor functions, highlighting different frailty levels among participants. These findings support earlier studies showing that sarcopenia and reduced muscle strength



are prevalent in older adults residing in care facilities (Landi et al., 2010; Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2010). In particular, the chair-stand test and 4-meter gait speed test proved to be highly sensitive in differentiating between robust, pre-frail, and frail individuals.

Gender differences were observed primarily in strength tests, where male participants generally obtained better scores, while female participants performed slightly better in balance-related tasks. These gender-based differences are in line with previous reports (Skelton et al., 1999; Cruz-Jentoft et al., 2010), highlighting the need for individualized training programs that take into account specific motor capacities.

Overall, the present findings underscore the importance of implementing structured physical activity programs in residential care centers. Regular exercise interventions focused on strength, balance, and mobility may contribute not only to improved motor performance but also to the prevention of falls and the prolongation of functional independence in institutionalized elderly populations.

4. Recommendations

Given the results of the study on the bio-motor condition of institutionalized elderly people, it is recommended to implement structured physical exercise programs adapted to the individual functional level, with an emphasis on the development of muscle strength, balance and mobility. These programs should be integrated into the daily routine of residential centers and carried out under the supervision of specialists in physiotherapy or adapted physical activity.

From a theoretical perspective, it is necessary to deepen longitudinal research on the impact of motor interventions on the quality of life and mental state of institutionalized elderly people. In addition, it would be useful to analyze the influence of the social and cultural context on adherence to physical activity, as well as to explore innovative motivational methods (e.g. group exercises, playful elements, assistive technologies) to increase the involvement of this vulnerable segment of the population.

5. Conclusion

Based on the results obtained in this study, the following conclusions can be formulated:

1. Participation in a structured and individualized physical exercise program led to improvements in the motor capacity of institutionalized elderly individuals, with significant progress observed in lower limb strength, static and dynamic balance, joint mobility, and gait speed.
2. The assessment tools used in this research, such as Vivifrail, Squats, the global functional mobility coefficient, and the Schober test, proved to be effective in monitoring the evolution of motor parameters in this population group.
3. Gender differences were mainly observed in muscular strength, with male participants obtaining slightly better results in strength tests, while female



participants performed slightly better in balance tests, confirming findings from the existing literature.

4. The consistent implementation of personalized physical exercise programs may represent a viable solution for maintaining functional independence and preventing frailty among institutionalized elderly individuals.

5. The study supports the importance of regular motor capacity assessment in residential care centers, allowing continuous adaptation of interventions to the individual needs of the elderly and optimizing long-term outcomes.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Prof. Dr. Stanescu Monica for her valuable scientific guidance, constant support and constructive suggestions throughout the research. Special gratitude is also extended to the management of the residential centers in Braşov municipality, who facilitated the investigation and provided access to the subjects, thus contributing significantly to the completion of the study.

References

1. Binder, E. F., Schechtman, K. B., Ehsani, A. A., Steger-May, K., Brown, M., Sinacore, D. R., & Yarasheski, K. E. (2002). *Effects of exercise training on frailty in community-dwelling older adults: Results of a randomized, controlled trial*. Journal of the American Geriatrics Society, 50(12), 1921-1928. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1532-5415.2002.50601.x>
2. Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Baeyens, J. P., Bauer, J. M., Boirie, Y., Cederholm, T., Landi, F., ... & Zamboni, M. (2010). *Sarcopenia: European consensus on definition and diagnosis*. Age and Ageing, 39(4), 412-423. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afq034>
3. Fiatarone, M. A., O'Neill, E. F., Ryan, N. D., Clements, K. M., Solares, G. R., Nelson, M. E., ... & Evans, W. J. (1994). *Exercise training and nutritional supplementation for physical frailty in very elderly people*. The New England Journal of Medicine, 330(25), 1769-1775. <https://doi.org/10.1056/NEJM199406233302501>
4. Izquierdo, M., Ibáñez, J., Gorostiaga, E. M., Garrues, M., Zúñiga, A., Antón, A., ... & Larrión, J. L. (1999). *Maximal strength and power characteristics in isometric and dynamic actions of the upper and lower extremities in middle-aged and older men*. Acta Physiologica Scandinavica, 167(1), 57-68. <https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-201x.1999.00590.x>
5. Izquierdo, M., Rodriguez-Mañas, L., Sinclair, A. J., & Vivifrail Group. (2019). *Vivifrail: Physical exercise program for older people*. European Union. <https://vivifrail.com>
6. Landi, F., Cruz-Jentoft, A. J., Liperoti, R., Russo, A., Giovannini, S., Tosato, M., ... & Bernabei, R. (2010). *Sarcopenia and mortality risk in frail older persons aged 80 years and older: Results from the SIRENTE study*. Age and Ageing, 39(4), 435-440. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/afq034>



7. Liu, C. J., & Latham, N. K. (2009). *Progressive resistance strength training for improving physical function in older adults*. Cochrane Database of Systematic Reviews, (3), CD002759. <https://doi.org/10.1002/14651858.CD002759.pub2>
8. Liu-Ambrose, T., Khan, K. M., Eng, J. J., Lord, S. R., & McKay, H. A. (2004). *Balance confidence improves with resistance or agility training: Increase in physical activity in older women*. Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 59(2), 132-137. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/59.2.m132>
9. Martínez-Velilla, N., Sáez de Asteasu, M. L., Ramírez-Vélez, R., Zambom-Ferraresi, F., García-Hermoso, A., Izquierdo, M. (2019). *Effects of an individualized multimodal physical exercise program on functional capacity in acutely hospitalized older adults: A randomized controlled trial*. JAMA Internal Medicine, 179(1), 28-36. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamainternmed.2018.4869>
10. Morley, J. E., Perry, H. M., & Miller, D. K. (2010). *Something about frailty*. Journals of Gerontology Series A: Biological Sciences and Medical Sciences, 65(6), 626-631. <https://doi.org/10.1093/gerona/gdq063>
11. Nelson, M. E., Rejeski, W. J., Blair, S. N., Duncan, P. W., Judge, J. O., King, A. C., ... & Castaneda-Sceppa, C. (2007). *Physical activity and public health in older adults: Recommendation from the American College of Sports Medicine and the American Heart Association*. Medicine & Science in Sports & Exercise, 39(8), 1435-1445. <https://doi.org/10.1249/mss.0b013e3180616aa2>
12. Onder, G., Liperoti, R., Russo, A., Soldato, M., Morandi, A., Cesari, M., ... & Bernabei, R. (2002). *Total daily energy expenditure and resting metabolic rate in institutionalized frail elderly*. Archives of Gerontology and Geriatrics, 35(3), 187-194. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4943\(02\)00011-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0167-4943(02)00011-4)
13. Paterson, D. H., & Warburton, D. E. R. (2010). *Physical activity and functional limitations in older adults: A systematic review related to Canada's Physical Activity Guidelines*. International Journal of Behavioral Nutrition and Physical Activity, 7(1), 38. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1479-5868-7-38>
14. Rodríguez-Mañas, L., Rodríguez-Sánchez, B., Carnicero, J. A., Rueda, R., García-García, F. J., & SPRINTT Consortium. (2018). *Design, implementation, and effectiveness of the SPRINTT project to prevent frailty in elderly people*. Journal of Nutrition, Health and Aging, 22(7), 716-724. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12603-018-1049-5>
15. Schober, P. (1937). *Lendenwirbelsäule und Kreuzbein: Ihre Beweglichkeit und deren Messung*. Münchener Medizinische Wochenschrift, 84, 336.
16. Skelton, D. A., Greig, C. A., Davies, J. M., & Young, A. (1999). *Strength, power and related functional ability of healthy people aged 65-89 years*. Age and Ageing, 23(5), 371-377. <https://doi.org/10.1093/ageing/28.3.279>
17. Spirduso, W. W., Francis, K. L., & MacRae, P. G. (2005). *Physical dimensions of aging* (2nd ed.). Human Kinetics.
18. Tudor, V. (2013). *Exercițiul fizic – terapie, prevenție și recuperare*. Editura Universitaria Craiova.
19. Vivifrail. (2019). *Vivifrail – physical exercise program for the elderly*. <https://vivifrail.com>